CHESTER SENIOR HIGH 1330 JA Cochran By Pass Chester, South Carolina 29706 9-12 High School GRADES 949 Students ENROLLMENT Kathie Greer 803-377-3161 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Barry E. Campbell 803-385-6122 Mrs. Denise C. Lawson 803-581-6224 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 11 14 6 3 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: Z This school met 9 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Excellent	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003	Unsatisfactory	Excellent	No
2004	Average	Excellent	No

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	l	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	72.0	N/A	N/A	71.9	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	16.1	N/A	N/A	14.6	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	11.8	N/A	N/A	13.9	N/A	N/A	

Cur School High Schools with Students Like Ours Percent 91.3% 94.9%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP							
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours					
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	6.2	10.5					
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	6.2	10.7					
Seniors who met the grade point average	43.8	45.4					

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	213	180		
Number of Diplomas	131	132		
Rate	61.5%	74.6%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS									
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate				
	n %		n	%	n	%	Met State Objective		
All Students	149	91.3	162	6.2	213	61.5	YES		
Gender									
Male	78	92.3	83	6.0	117	55.6	N/A		
Female	71	90.1	79	6.3	96	68.8	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	75	97.3	78	11.5	92	73.9	N/A		
African-American	74	85.1	83	1.2	120	52.5			
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A		
Hispanic	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	1	I/S	0	N/A	N/A		
Racial/Ethnic Group									
Non disabled	142	93.7	151	6.6	192	66.1	N/A		
Disabilities other than speech	7	42.9	11	0.0	21	19.0	N/A		
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A		
Non-migrant	149	91.3	162	6.2	N/A	N/A	N/A		
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	1	I/S	N/A		
Non-Limited English Proficient	149	91.3	162	6.2	211	61.6	N/A		
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	49	83.7	110	0.0	101	48.5	N/A		
Full-pay meals	100	95.0	52	19.2	112	73.2	N/A		

HSAP PERFORMANCE	BY GRO	JUP							
	Enrollment 1st	6	% Below Basis	<u>ا</u> إ	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation
	# j	% Tested	, / 🥷	% Basic	/ j			કું / કું કું	Participation
	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	. / %	ĝ	/ g	/ \$	🖓] [] [
	(a) (a) (b) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a) (a	/ %	/ %	/ *	/ %	/ %	14.0	P. P. J.	/g ;
	179	/			/	1	/ ∞ ₹	/ ~	/ `
	sn/Langua	ge Arts - a							
All Students	229	92.6	13.4	37.3	31.1	18.2	56.5	YES	NC
Gender									
Male	99	93.9	18.5	42.4	32.6	6.5	47.8	N/A	N/A
Female	130	91.5	9.4	33.3	29.9	27.4	63.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group				00.0	40.0	00.4	77.0	\/F0	
White	90	92.2	7.4	22.2	42.0	28.4	77.8	YES	NO
African-American	139	92.8	17.2	46.9	24.2	11.7	43.0	YES	NO
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/8
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status				05.5	06 =	45.5	0:-		
Not Disabled	203	93.6	7.5	39.0	33.7	19.8	61.5	N/A	N/A
Disabled	26	84.6	63.6	22.7	9.1	4.5	13.6	I/S	1/:
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	229	92.6	13.4	37.3	31.1	18.2	56.5	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	229	92.6	13.4	37.3	31.1	18.2	56.5	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	121	90.1	18.7	44.9	24.3	12.1	43.9	YES	NO
Full-pay meals	108	95.4	7.8	29.4	38.2	24.5	69.6	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	229	92.6	25.8	32.1	31.1	11.0	49.3	YES	NO
Gender									
Male	99	94.9	25.8	34.4	31.2	8.6	46.2	N/A	N/A
Female	130	90.8	25.9	30.2	31.0	12.9	51.7	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	90	92.2	12.3	25.9	42.0	19.8	67.9	YES	NO
African-American	139	92.8	34.4	35.9	24.2	5.5	37.5	YES	NO
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Hispanic	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	203	93.1	19.9	34.9	33.3	11.8	53.2	N/A	N/A
Disabled	26	88.5	73.9	8.7	13.0	4.3	17.4	I/S	1/:
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	229	92.6	25.8	32.1	31.1	11.0	49.3	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	229	92.6	25.8	32.1	31.1	11.0	49.3	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	121	90.1	35.5	30.8	27.1	6.5	39.3	YES	NO
Full-pay meals	108	95.4	15.7	33.3	35.3	15.7	59.8	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

Chester Senior High				1201002	
SCHOOL PROFILE					
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School	
Students (n= 949)					
Retention rate	15.7%	Up from 0.1% Down from 93.7%	9.7%	9.1%	
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	93.4% 2.6%	Down from 3.1%	95.9% 4.9%	96.0% 5.8%	
With disabilities other than speech	10.0%	Down from 10.5%	14.3%	12.7%	
Older than usual for grade	13.9%	Up from 13.7%	11.5%	9.8%	
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	2.5%	Down from 4.3%	1.6%	1.6%	
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	10.2% 25.0%	Up from 3.7%	10.3% 36.3%	10.2% 53.8%	
Annual dropout rate	4.1%	Down from 6.5%	2.7%	2.7%	
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	7.6%	Down from 9.3%	7.3%	3.6%	
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	189	Down from 342	378	466	
Students participating in worked-based experiences	9.1%	Up from 6.5%	27.9%	25.7%	
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	63.4%	Down from 73.2%	75.5%	77.7%	
Career/technology completers placed	N/A	N/A	98.6%	99.3%	
Teachers (n= 52)					
Teachers with advanced degrees	46.2%	Down from 46.4%	50.0%	52.0%	
Continuing contract teachers Highly qualified teachers**	75.0% 92.9%	Up from 73.2% N/A	82.6% 90.9%	82.1% 89.5%	
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	8.3%	N/A	8.6%	8.6%	
Teachers returning from previous year	86.2%	Up from 82.7%	86.1%	86.2%	
Teacher attendance rate	94.7%	Down from 95.1%	94.7%	95.3%	
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$40,769 16.3 days	Up 1.4% Up from 9.8 days	\$40,640 10.4 days	\$41,060 10.6 days	
School	10.5 days	op nom 3.0 days	10.4 days	10.0 days	
Principal's years at school	6.0	Up from 5.0	4.0	3.0	
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	29.0 to 1	Up from 23.5 to 1	26.4 to 1	26.4 to 1	
Prime instructional time	86.6%	Down from 87.3%	89.1%	90.0%	
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,681	Down 0.8%	\$6,347	\$6,310	
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	50.9%	Down from 53.1%	58.3%	57.9%	
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Good	Excellent	
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	87.0% Yes	Up from 42.4% No change	91.9% Yes	89.3% Yes	
Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	N/A	Good	Good	
	1 1 44	Our District		ate	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty		N/A		.0%	
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	N/A State Objective		.1%		
Highly qualified teachers in this school	**	State Objective 65.0%		Objective	
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	Yes No		
Student attenuance in this school	90.5%	ľ	10		

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

Chester Senior High

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

This school year CHS had a record six Palmetto Fellow Scholars, over forty percent of our graduating class (the largest class in several years) achieved honor graduate status, and our seniors received over one million dollars in scholarships. Although we have not yet received data on the exit exam performance, our students went into the exam with great confidence after working on these skills each day during the Last Twenty. Those students who received SAT prep work during the Last Twenty experienced increases in test scores ranging from fifty to one hundred and fifty points. Special incentives were given for students to retake the SAT or ACT to achieve higher scores. We have provided additional academic support through a homework center program, after-school tutoring, REACC, language arts and math skills courses, computer labs, academic challenge and SAT competition teams, and breakfast, snacks, and water during semester exams and on standardized testing dates. We implemented a freshman academy program to provide a smoother transition for first year high school students. Three instructional teams are dedicated to this initiative. The transition team implemented this school year has coordinated meetings with middle school teachers and parents, conducted course selection and assessment sessions with all rising ninth graders and their parents, planned the fall ninth grade orientation program, and designed a more formal procedure for review of academic placement and individual four year plans for all students. Special courses are being offered during the summer and regular school year to address skill deficits. Special scheduling is planned to work with those students who were not successful last school year as first year high school students, but will be required to take the new exit exam this school year.

We have continued to increase use of technology in instruction and student access to technology. A technology organization has been established and students can earn custom-made patches for achievement in technology. Our staff work teams have continued to develop and this year implemented programs and initiatives in the areas of parent involvement, achievement/recognition, test preparation and exit kickoff/celebration, staff support/recognition, reading, communications, community events, staff development, and ninth grade transition. An academic letterman program has been designed for implementation this fall. The School Improvement Council is heading a project to again attempt to establish a parent organization (Parents In Education). Our athletic teams won four region championships, one upper state championship, and one state championship. The band, chorus, and JROTC programs received excellent ratings in state competitions. JROTC again was recognized for high achievement in national competition. The Beta and FCCLA clubs won several state competition awards. Many students and staff members have distinguished themselves by winning individual recognitions/awards.

Kathie Greer, Principal

Angela Anderson, School Improvement Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	51	166	58				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	56.9%	55.2%	49.1%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment 60.8% 65.9%							
Percent satisfied with home-school relations 18.0% 77.5% 50.0%							
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.							